



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/720,777

11/24/2003

John A. McMorris III

40000277-1001

4925

26263

7590

07/17/2008

SONNENSCHN NATH & ROSENTHAL LLP

P.O. BOX 061080

WACKER DRIVE STATION, SEARS TOWER

CHICAGO, IL 60606-1080

EXAMINER

RIVIERE, HEIDI M

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3689

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

07/17/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/720,777	<b>Applicant(s)</b> MCMORRIS ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> HEIDI RIVIERE	<b>Art Unit</b> 3689	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) HEIDI RIVIERE.

(3) Dale C. Hunt.

(2) Janice Mooneyham.

(4) Mithu Pottathil.

Date of Interview: July 15, 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: Sandor et al. (2005/0246190 A1) portions relating to provisional; Date timeline of prior art, prior art provisionals and current application and provisional applications.

Claim(s) discussed: \_\_\_\_\_.

Identification of prior art discussed: Sandor et al (2005/0241690).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant discussed the comparisons of the Sandor reference and the Sandor provisional. Discussion also centered on the current Application's written description and claims. Applicant will amend the claims in areas they find necessary and a new search initiated if necessitated after review of future submissions.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Heidi Riviere/  
Examiner, Art Unit 3689

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required